Atomic-Scale Charge Distribution Mapping of Single Substitutional p- and n-Type Dopants in Graphene

Benjamin Mallada, Shayan Edalatmanesh, Petr Lazor, Jesus Redondo, Aurelio Gallardo, Radek Zbořil, Pavel Jelinek,* Martin Švec,* and Bruno de la Torre*

ABSTRACT: Tuning the chemical properties of graphene by controlled doping is a widely investigated strategy. The effect of a substitutional single dopant on graphene local reactivity is much less explored. To improve the understanding of the role of p- and n-type dopants in graphene’s local chemical activity and quantification of its interaction with single molecules, we report an atomic-scale investigation of single boron (B) and nitrogen (N) dopants in graphene and their interactions with CO molecules by means of atomic force microscopy (AFM) and Kelvin probe force microscopy (KPFM) experiments and theoretical calculations. We infer that N/B doping significantly increases/lowers the chemical interaction of graphene with individual CO molecules as a result of weak electrostatic forces induced by distinct charge distribution around the dopant site. High-resolution AFM images allow dopant discrimination and their atomic-scale structural characterization, which may be crucial for the atomic-scale design of graphene derivatives with relevant potential applications in molecular sensing and catalysis.
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INTRODUCTION

The fundamental understanding of the physical and chemical properties of individual dopants incorporated in graphene is crucial for a successful application in graphene-based devices. Doping with heteroatoms has become one of the most successful routes for addressing desirable functionalities in graphene. In particular, incorporation of substitutional boron and nitrogen atoms in the graphene lattice creates acceptor and donor centers, due to the different number of valence electrons with respect to carbon.1−4 The size and electronic structure similar to carbon provide them with specific advantages for their substitution into the graphene sheet with minimal strain, maintaining the overall graphene structure. Such dopants affect graphene charge transport5,6 and plasmon mobility7 and induce magnetism.6,8 Great efforts have also been dedicated to address their specific chemical functionalities.9,10 Due to the inertness of the pristine graphene, incorporation of atomic and molecular species in the two-dimensional lattice provides enhanced chemical activity of doped graphene, offering a multitude of applications.11

Recent investigation of nitrogen- and boron-doped graphene derivatives12−16 has been focused on the dopant physicochemical properties at the atomic level. A comprehensive experimental structure elucidation and charge distribution of the N- and B-doped carbon sheet at the atomic scale are still missing. It is worth mentioning that numerous theoretical studies addressing the electronic and chemical properties of the dopant sites reported dopant charges, which differ widely in magnitude and even in sign.17−20 A Bader charge analysis of theoretical data predicted that the N dopant gains 1.2 e− rather than losing charge as expected for an n-type dopant.21,22 The real charge redistribution is crucial for understanding the modification of graphene chemistry upon doping,23,24 i.e., of the interaction of boron and nitrogen dopants with molecules and other functional moieties. The in-plane component of the dopant electrostatic force field plays a significant role in graphene charge carrier scattering,25−26 while the out-of-plane component dominates the chemical interaction and may be useful for creating selective sites for graphene functionalization with organic molecules.27−30

Here, we report on a low-temperature noncontact atomic force microscopy (nc-AFM) and Kelvin probe force microscopy (KPFM) investigation with a functionalized CO-tip11 of individual nitrogen and boron dopants implanted in a graphene monolayer grown on SiC(0001), complemented by theoretical calculations. We evaluate the noncovalent interactions of nitrogen and boron dopants with CO molecules attached to the apex of an AFM tip with respect to the...
graphene substrate. We map the redistribution of electron densities at C–N and C–B bonds that accompanies the incorporation of sp² nitrogen and boron dopants in graphene. This gives rise to net positive and negative static charges localized a few angstroms around the nitrogen and boron sites, respectively, which determine the doped graphene chemical activity through weak electrostatic forces.

**METHODS**

**Experimental Methods.** 6H-SiC(0001) samples were cut from TankeBlue research grade wafers using a diamond scribe and PEEK tipped tweezers. The samples were degassed up to 900 °C in UHV and subsequently exposed to a Si flux for 40 min. Once a sharp 3 × 3 LEED pattern was acquired, the graphene was grown by annealing up to 1300 °C, increasing 50 °C every 5 min. Boron doping was achieved by sublimating B from a commercial evaporator on the already-grown graphene at RT (a flux of 26 nA for 20 s was enough to obtain a low concentration of dopants) and a final annealing at 1150 °C for 20 min. The growth and the doping were monitored by means of LEED and STM. The temperature was controlled using a Micro-Epsilon 2MH-CF3 thermometer of a 1.6 μm spectral range with an emissivity of 0.9. N atom implantation was achieved by sputtering the graphene sample with N atoms accelerated at 120 eV and subsequent annealing to approximately 800 °C.

STM/AFM measurements were carried out in a UHV chamber equipped with a low-temperature STM/AFM with qPlus tuning fork sensor operated at 5 K (Createc GmbH). During the AFM measurements, a Pt/Ir tip mounted onto the sensor (frequency ≈ 30 kHz; stiffness ≈ 1800 N/m) was oscillated with a constant amplitude of 50 pm. To obtain high-resolution AFM/STM images, prior to the experiment, the tip was functionalized with a CO molecule gathered from a Au(111) surface. Before transfer of the CO-tip to graphene, we did several approach/retract (up to few micrometers) experiments on Au(111) to select only highly stable CO-tips for transfer to graphene. On graphene, we benchmarked CO presence at the tip apex by constant height AFM atomic-scale images at various tip-sample distances. CO-tip images lead characteristic honeycomb features of graphene whereas metallic tips display hexagonal pattern47 (see Figure S5).

For the local contact potential difference (LCPD) mapping in the XZ plane on both dopants, 1250 KPFM measurements were performed with a bias range [−500 mV, 300 mV] in a grid of size of 3 nm × 1 nm. The acquisition time for each parabola was 30 s. The mapping was generated by fitting each parabola by the formula a(x-LCPD)x + c with a Python script and assigning the fitted value of the LCPD for every (x,z) position to a 25 × 50 grid of pixels. STM/AFM images were analyzed using WSIxM software.

**DFT Methods.** Density functional theory calculations were performed using the projector-augmented wave method in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP). The surface of graphene was modeled using a supercell of 5 × 5 elementary cells (50 carbon atoms). The tip was modeled by the CO molecule attached to the apex gold atom of a triangular pyramid Au cluster (10 Au atoms) having Au(111) faces. The outer layer of the Au cluster and two atoms of graphene were fixed, while the rest of the system (CO molecule and adjacent Au atoms, graphene atoms beneath the tip) was allowed to fully relax. The 3 × 3 × 1 k-point grid was used to sample the Brillouin zone. The periodically repeated supercells were separated by 25 Å of vacuum. The energy cutoff for the plane-wave expansion was set to 400 eV. We used the optimized van der Waals functional optB86b-vdW in all calculations, which provided a balanced description of van der Waals and covalent bonding in our previous studies. We also tested the many-body dispersion method MBd+vscs and empirical dispersion DFT+D2. In order to test robustness of our theoretical calculation, we used also the many-body dispersion MBd@rscs functional. The interaction energies and their order for the tip above a C, N, B atoms were very similar to those obtained using optB86b-vdW (Figure S2). The plots of the induced charge density isosurface were prepared using VESTA software.

Hartree potential calculations were done by employing FHI-AIMS code, using two geometrically relaxed slabs of 180 atoms with a periodic boundary condition (PBC). The systems were allowed to relax until the remaining atomic forces reached below 10⁻² eV/Å. The GGA-PBE functional was used as the exchange-correlation functional and a grid of 4 × 4 × 1 k-points to sample the Brillouin Zone.

AFM images were calculated using the probe particle model. The parameters of the tip were chosen to mimic a CO-tip. Namely, charge distribution of the CO molecule was mimicked by a quadrupole moment with a value of ~0.1 e and lateral stiffness of the probe particle of 0.25 N m⁻¹. The electrostatic interaction between the tip and the sample was included in the AFM calculations using the Hartree potential obtained from fully optimized total energy DFT calculations using the VASP code. These DFT calculations were done using a 6 × 6 × 1 k-point reciprocal grid in a 7 × 7 supercell. To simulate the dynamic of the tip, we used typical values of a qPlus sensor, oscillation amplitude A = 100 pm, sensor stiffness k = 3600 N/m, and eigenfrequency f₀ = 30 kHz.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Structural Characterization of B- and N-Doped Graphene.** We have carried out combined low-temperature (5 K) STM/nc-AFM experiments on atomically well-defined graphene, doped with single nitrogen and boron atoms in a substitutional configuration. A graphene monolayer is grown on a SiC(0001) substrate and subsequently doped with boron and nitrogen atoms in a stepwise process in ultrahigh vacuum (see Methods for details). Both boron and nitrogen atoms were implanted in low concentrations, which facilitates the study of individual dopant sites on the surface. All the experiments were performed with an STM/AFM tip-apex functionalized with a single CO molecule picked up from a nearby Au(111) substrate.

A typical STM topography of a graphene region which comprises three nitrogen dopants and one boron dopant is shown in Figure 1a. Dopants are featured as three-fold protrusions distributed randomly on the surface with no apparent preference of incorporation in the graphene lattice. Characteristics in STM features of graphene dopants are strongly dependent on the tip termination. We have found that functionalization of the tip with CO molecules provides a very reproducible STM contrast for several experimental

![Figure 1. Graphitic boron and nitrogen dopants in graphene. STM topography (a) and constant-height nc-AFM (b) images of a graphene area with one boron and three nitrogen substitutional dopants. In nc-AFM images, boron (bottom left-hand) and nitrogen dopants are resolved as bright and dark features, respectively. STM image parameters: 200 mV, 50 pA.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b07623)
sessions. The assignment of graphitic nitrogen and boron dopants is corroborated by constant-height high-resolution AFM imaging with a CO-tip of the same graphene area (Figure 1b). The periodic honeycomb structure of carbon atoms is clearly resolved together with the graphene moiré pattern on a larger length scale, which is the $6\sqrt{3}\times6\sqrt{3}$-R30° quasiperiodic structure of the buffer layer and is observed in both STM and AFM images, pointing out its topographic origin. The use of a nonreactive CO-tip allows us to evaluate the true topographic corrugation$^{46,47}$ of the moiré from direct comparison of the AFM contrast at several tip-sample distances for high and low graphene areas, similarly as reported by Schwarz et al.$^{48}$ (Figure S1). With this method, we reach an estimate of 20–30 pm in good agreement with previous room-temperature AFM$^{49}$ experiments on the same surface. Interestingly, for an adequate tip-sample height, a pronounced variation of the atomic-scale contrast is observed at the dopant sites; i.e., boron and nitrogen atoms are brighter and darker than the surrounding carbon atoms, respectively. This is observed regardless of localization of the dopants in the moiré superlattice, thus allowing us to rule out any influence of the dopant hybridization with the substrate.

Next, we focus on the contrast of boron and nitrogen dopants in AFM images. Figure 2 shows a series of detailed experimental AFM images of boron (top) and nitrogen (bottom) in graphene as a function of the tip-sample separation. Hereinafter, the closest tip-sample separation ($z_{rel} = 0$ pm) is referred to as a tip approach of 150 pm from STM point (−500 mV, 50 pA). At the largest separation (left-hand panel; $z_{rel} = 120$ pm), bright (less negative frequency shift) and dark (more negative frequency shift) features are observed at boron (red dot) and nitrogen (blue dot) sites. Upon the tip approach (centered panel; $z_{rel} = 90$ pm), the carbon hexagons of graphene are clearly resolved. The three C−B bonds around the boron atom are comparatively brighter than the surrounding C−C bonds. In contrast, no marks of C−N bonds are observed at the same tip-sample distance. At $z_{rel} = 60$ pm, all the three C−N bonds start to be resolved, while the C−B bonds appearance becomes similar to that of the C−C bonds. Interestingly, the AFM image at a close distance reveals a distortion of the carbon rings in the proximity of the dopants. Previously, similar lateral distortions were attributed to spatial variations of electrostatic potential within a molecule,$^{50}$ thus suggesting localization of electrostatic charges around dopants, as we discuss later. These characteristic contrast features in the AFM images have been observed with several different CO-tips and on dopants located at distinct moiré sites, which proves that the types of contrasts are intrinsic and unique to the doping element. Similar characteristics have been reported recently in AFM images with a CO-tip on boron- and nitrogen-doped graphene nanoribbons on Au(111)$^{51}$ and attributed to the modulated local electron density caused by the substitution. Qualitatively, we observed a C−N bond length of 188 ± 8 pm and C−B bond length of 134 ± 4 pm, which differ from the observed bond length of unperturbed C−C bonds of 141 ± 2 pm (Figure S4), in perfect agreement with similar observations in B- and N-doped nanoribbons.$^{51}$

We performed AFM simulations$^{43}$ using the optimized atomic structure and Hartree potential of boron- and nitrogen-doped graphene obtained from total energy DFT calculations (see Methods for more details and Figure 3c for visualization of the Hartree potential). The simulated AFM images are in excellent agreement with the experimental observations and fully capture the contrast of the C−B and C−N bonds (Figure 2) as a function of the tip-sample separation. The optimized structure has negligible out-of-plane relaxation of the dopant, which allows us to exclude any role of topographic effects in the AFM contrast. To understand the origin of the contrast difference between boron and nitrogen dopants, the mechanism of high-resolution imaging has to be considered.$^{43,52,53}$ The CO-tip brought in the vicinity of the sample is sensitive to the total charge density distribution within the inspected system, and its variation can significantly affect the contrast. Intuitively, one can simplify the complex CO-tip charge distribution as a negatively effective charged tip. Thus, when probing an inhomogeneous charge distribution, the tip is repelled (less negative frequency shift) from sites of excess electron density, whereas it is attracted (more negative frequency shift) to those which have a positive charge. Therefore, the apparent contrast in the AFM images shows a substantial modification of the charge density localized at the C−B and C−N bonds.

**Figure 2.** High-resolution AFM images on dopants with a CO-tip. Experimental (top row) and simulated (bottom row) constant-height AFM images of a single boron dopant (a) and nitrogen dopant (b) as a function of the tip-sample separation. Tip-sample distance decreases from left to right. Red and blue dots point to the position of the boron and nitrogen atoms, respectively. In the experiment, the closest tip-sample separation $z_{rel} = 0$ corresponds to a tip approach of 150 pm from the STM point (−500 mV, 50 pA).
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of graphene, which we attribute primarily to the interaction of the CO-tip with inhomogeneous charge distribution. The LCPD over the boron/nitrogen dopant is shifted to a higher/lower value reflecting a decrease/increase of the local work function, respectively, induced by variation of the surface dipole around the dopants.54,55

To probe the spatial distribution of the net charges around the dopants, we acquired $\Delta f(V, x, z)$ spectra at different tip–sample separations $z$ in the plane perpendicular to graphene and along the line crossing boron and nitrogen dopants (top AFM image in Figure 3b). In a posteriori analysis, for each $\Delta f(V)$ curve, we determined the LCPD values by fitting. To extract the local impact of the dopants with respect to pristine graphene, we intentionally subtract the background LCPD signal obtained on pristine graphene areas. After such processing, we plotted a LCPD difference $x$–$z$ map with respect to graphene ($\Delta$LCPD $x$–$z$). At tip–sample height $z_{rel} = 140$ pm, the boron and nitrogen atoms show almost equal magnitudes of $\Delta$LCPD but with opposite signs (Figure 3b). The sign reflects localization of positive and negative net charges on nitrogen and boron sites, respectively. On the other hand, the similar magnitude indicates a comparable level of opposite charge doping by boron and nitrogen, in good agreement with previous observations.2,3 Quantitatively, LCPD values crucially depend on the mesoscopic tip termination due to long-range electrostatic forces,56 but in repeated experimental sessions, we observed similar qualitative LCPD as described above, i.e., equal but opposite values for nitrogen and boron with respect to graphene, since the shift is always determined by the direction of the dipole induced by the dopant.57,58

In addition, at the selected separations, a negligible charge redistribution or transfer is observed through the CO molecule–dopant contact (Figure S3). Thus, we unambiguously interpret the observed contrast as a variation of the work function in the vicinity of the dopants. The $\Delta$LCPD value on both dopants decreases continuously upon tip separations larger than $z_{rel} = 290$ pm, where the average graphene work function prevails. The $\Delta$LCPD $x$–$z$ map shows the lateral and vertical confinement of a net positive/negative charge in a small volume centered on the dopant atom.

These experimental observations are fully supported by the total energy DFT calculations. The presence of a negative/positive net charge on boron/nitrogen dopants is evident from the calculated Hartree potential (Figure 3c,d). However, a quantitative agreement between KPFM experiments and theoretical analysis is still missing and beyond the scope of this study. These charges are localized at the atom sites and extend only a few angstroms into the graphene basal plane, which is fully consistent with the spatial confinement of the charge observed in the LCPD map. The net charge on the boron/nitrogen dopants originates from acceptance/donation of an electron to the graphene pi-band12,59 and subsequent electronic redistribution.

Chemical Properties of Individual Dopants. Finally, we focus on the chemical properties of the dopants. The force interaction between the CO molecule attached to the AFM tip apex and the incorporated dopants is investigated by means of site-specific $\Delta f(z)$ spectroscopy. $\Delta f(z)$ values above nitrogen (blue) and boron (red) atoms are displayed in Figure 4a and b, respectively, in addition to a reference $\Delta f(z)$ above a nearby carbon atom (yellow). No significant difference between the dopants and the reference carbon is seen in the $\Delta f$ at larger distances ($z_{rel} > 250$ pm). For smaller separations, a difference in $\Delta f$ becomes apparent. This difference is the largest at the minima of $\Delta f$ for each atom ($z_{rel}$ about 75 pm). Qualitatively, we found that the minimum $\Delta f$ on nitrogen shows a more negative frequency shift, followed by carbon and boron atoms. At closer separations where Pauli repulsion starts affecting the total interaction, the $\Delta f$ measured on dopants converges to that of nearby carbon, due to the interplay of electrostatic interaction and Pauli repulsion plus the different van der Waals radii of the atoms.51 The $\Delta f(z)$ spectroscopy fully agrees with the observed atomic-scale contrast on dopants shown in Figure 2.

We quantify the effect of doping on graphene chemical properties by calculating the forces from the experimental $\Delta f(z)$ plot.60 Dopant-independent force components were removed by subtraction of the $\Delta f(z)$ measured on top of a

Figure 3. Net charge at the dopant sites in graphene. (a) KPFM parabolas above boron (red), carbon (yellow), and nitrogen (blue) atoms at the same tip–sample distance. KPFM plots are fitted with a parabolic dependence to obtain the LCPD value. (b) LCPD $x$–$z$ map at different tip–sample separations $z$ along a line crossing boron and nitrogen atoms (yellow dashed line in the inset AFM image). Each pixel corresponds with the fitted LCPD value of the KPFM parabola acquired in every $(x, z)$ position. Errors in LCPD fittings are about $1.5$ mV. (c, d) Calculated Hartree potential of single boron- and nitrogen-dopants in graphene.
carbon atom before the calculation process. Figure 4c shows the force difference of nitrogen (blue line) and boron (red line) dopants with respect to carbon atoms, sensed by the CO-tip. We observe the augmented interaction of CO with graphitic nitrogen by approximately 25 pN. On the other hand, the interaction of CO with the boron dopant is reduced by approximately 15 pN. Despite these rather small force differences, AFM with a CO-tip demonstrates being a technique sensitive enough to visualize single dopants in graphene and their chemical activity.

This observation is in line with DFT+vdW calculations of the tip–graphene interaction. We mimic the experimental setup by the Au(111)-CO pyramidal-tip above a $5 \times 5$ graphene lattice in which single nitrogen and boron atoms are localized at graphitic sites. Figure 4d displays the vertical component for the calculated tip–sample interaction energy which reproduces the experimental findings. The calculation yields the highest interaction energy for the CO-tip above the nitrogen atom ($E_N \approx 190$ meV) followed by the carbon atom ($E_C \approx 140$ meV) and the boron atom ($E_B \approx 120$ meV). Note that the tip–graphene system is allowed to fully relax; i.e., only the last plane of the tip Au atoms and carbon atoms distant from the tip are fixed during the calculation. The exact value of the calculated interaction energy is quantitatively sensitive to the choice of the functional used for a description of the van der Waals interaction, which is not covered by standard exchange–correlation functionals as a generalized gradient approximation (GGA). Nevertheless, the order $E_N > E_C > E_B$ and energetic difference between the atoms remain the same for various inspected functionals (see Figure S2 and Methods for more details). Moreover, the calculation shows that the van der Waals interaction is very uniform above the doped graphene. The differences in the interaction are thus governed by electrostatic forces between the tip and charge density localized around the respective atomic site.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we have investigated the physicochemical properties of single substitutional N and B dopants in a graphene monolayer at the atomic-scale by means of combined AFM and KPFM measurements corroborated with DFT simulations. The very good agreement of our theoretical calculations with the experimental findings provides a detailed understanding of the local charge distribution of single dopants. We evaluated the weak chemical interactions of nitrogen and boron dopants with single CO molecules attached to the tip-apex by means of experimental measurements of site-specific force spectroscopy and DFT calculations. Our results demonstrate the divergent chemical activity of nitrogen and boron impurities incorporated into graphene and provide a deeper insight for a precise chemical functionalization of graphene derivatives.
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