Estimation of the effect of positron production amount by installing Cd-cap in the KUR slow positron beamline

A. Yabuuchi,1* T. Yoshiie¹, and A. Kinomura¹

¹Institute for Integrated Radiation and Nuclear Science, Kyoto University, Kumatori, Osaka 590-0494, Japan

As well as other reactor-based positron beam facilities [1–3], a Cd-cap is used in the slow positron beamline at Kyoto University research Reactor (KUR) in order to enhance the gammaray intensity irradiated to a tungsten positron converter [4]. During KUR maximum power operation (5 MW), the temperature around the Cd-cap reaches up to several tens of degrees below the melting point of Cd (321°C) due to nuclear heating. The Cd-cap-installed beamline requires extra attention and/or effort due to the low melting point material. Thus, to estimate how much the Cd-cap contributes to positron production is important for reactor-based positron beam facilities. In this study, the change of positron production amount with and without the Cd-cap at the KUR beamline was estimated by Monte Carlo simulation.

Figure 1 shows the change in the amount of positron emission from the tungsten positron converter with and without the Cd-cap, assuming that only neutrons are emitted from the reactor core. In the calculation, the structure of the positron production unit of the KUR beamline shown in Fig. 2 was modeled as truly as possible including reactor coolant water. Since gamma-rays derived from neutrons are also emitted from materials around the converter, positrons are produced to some extent even without the Cd-cap as shown in Fig. 1. However, the amount of positron emission was found to increase 6.0 ± 0.2 times by installing the Cd-cap. Although the above calculation considers only neutrons, in fact, gamma-rays emitted from the reactor core also contribute to positron production. In the presentation, the change in the total amount of positron production with and without the Cd-cap will be discussed.



Figure 1 The number of emitted positrons from a tungsten positron converter as a function of emitted positron energy. Note that only neutrons are considered in this calculation.



Figure 2 Schematic diagram of positron production unit of the KUR beamline. Note that this is not the model used in the calculation.

References

- [1] A. van Veen et al., Appl. Surf. Sci. 116, 39 (1997).
- [2] C. Hugenschmidt et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 192, 97 (2002).
- [3] A. G. Hathaway et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 579, 538 (2007).
- [4] W. Triftshäuser, and G. Kögel, Helv. Phys. Acta 63, 378 (1990).

*Corresponding author, Email: yabuuchi@rri.kyoto-u.ac.jp